

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/624,537 | 07/23/2003 | Katsuhiro Horikawa | M1071.1855/P1855 | 6662 |
| 7590 02/23/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| DICKSTEIN S | SHAPIRO MORIN & O | MAYES, MELVIN C | | |
| Edward A. Meil | lman | | | |
| 41st Floor | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1177 Avenue of the Americas | | | 1734 | |
| New York, NY | 10036-2714 | | D. FF. V. W. FR. 00 (00 (00) | |

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|--|---|-----------|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/624,537 | HORIKAWA ET AL. | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | Melvin Curtis Mayes | 1734 | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with th | ne correspondence add | ress | |
| A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but the state of the state o | ION. se timely filed from the mailing date of this com DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| · | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 This action is FINAL. 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under | is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, | | nerits is | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1,4-9,11-16 and 21-27 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4-9,11-16 and 21-27 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction. | awn from consideration. | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | |
| 10) 🗌 | The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example. | ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summ | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Inforn | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other: | I Date al Patent Application (PTO-1 | 52) | |

Application/Control Number: 10/624,537

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

(1)

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 12, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

(2)

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

(3)

Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-9, 11 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horikawa 6,080,328 in view of Ponomarev et al. 2004/0012000, Horikawa et al.6,383,408 and JP 2-122511.

Horikawa '328 discloses a method of making a piezoelectric ceramic element comprising: providing a piezoelectric ceramic of the formula $Pb_a[(Cr_xNb_{(1-x)})yZr_{(1-b-y)}Ti_b]O_3$, wherein $0.95 \le a \le 1.05$; producing green sheets; laminating green sheets with internal electrodes; and firing. Green sheets of thickness of 60-100 um can be coated with electrode paste of Ag/Pd of ratio 7/3 (col. 2, lines 39-67, col. 8, lines 5-35). Horikawa does not disclose limiting the Pb in

the composition to molar quantity reduced by 0.5-5 mol% from that of stoichiometric composition or disclose firing (sintering) in an atmosphere of oxygen concentration of 5 vol% or less but more than 0 vol%.

Ponomarev et al. teach that to achieve high efficiency under dynamic operations such as in a multilayer piezoelectric ceramic transformer, low-loss hard piezoelectric ceramic materials are required [0002].

Horikawa et al. teach that a piezoelectric ceramic which has significantly low loss is a composition represented by the formula $Pb_x\{(Mn_aNb_b)_yTi_zZr_{(1-y-z)}\}O_3$, where on a molar basis $0.95 \le x \le 0.995$ (col. 2,lines 44-56). When the amount of Pb is decreased below stoichiometric content, no foreign phase exist in the sintered material, and hence a piezoelectric ceramic having an even lower loss can be obtained (col. 3, lines 14-18)

JP 2-122511 (JP '511) teaches that a laminate of green sheets and Ag-Pd paste inner electrodes is calcined (sintered) in a low oxygen concentration environment of less than 50,000 ppm oxygen to improve reliability and reduce costs while retaining needed characteristics.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the method of Horikawa '328 for making a piezoelectric ceramic element by limiting the limiting the Pb in the composition to molar quantity reduced by 0.5-5 mol% ($0.95 \le a \le 0.995$) from that of stoichiometric composition, as Horikawa et al. teach that such a composition has low loss because of Pb content decreased below stoichiometric content and as Ponomarev et al. teach that low loss is desired for multilayer piezoelectric ceramic elements. Limiting the Pb molar quantity as claimed would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to achieve lower loss, taught by Ponomarev et al. as desired for multilayer piezoelectric ceramic elements.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have further modified the method of Horikawa '328 by firing (sintering) the laminate in a low oxygen atmosphere of less than 50,000 ppm oxygen, as taught by JP '511, as used to sinter a laminate of green sheets and Ag-Pd electrodes to improve reliability and reduce costs while retaining needed characteristics. Sintering in an oxygen atmosphere of oxygen concentration in the range of up to 5 vol%, as claimed, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as encompassed by the range of less than 50,000 ppm oxygen taught by JP '511.

By providing the piezoelectric ceramic of composition Pb_a[(Cr_xNb_(1-x))yZr_(1-b-y)Ti_b]O₃, the average valence of the B site component is greater than stoichiometric and greater than 4.000 but less than 4.100, as claimed and the B site component comprises Nb and Cr, as claimed in Claims 5, 7, 8 and 15.

(4)

Claims 6 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1 or 4, and further in view of Feltz et al. 2002/0098333.

Feltz et al. teach that in piezoelectric ceramic, partial substitution of the quadrivalent cations Zr and Ti on the B-positions can be by a combination of two-valent metal cations such as Ni and quinvalent metal cations such as Nb [0020].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have further provided Ni in addition to the Nb, as taught by Feltz et al., as metal cation that can be provided with Nb for partial substitution of Zr and Ti in piezoelectric ceramic. Providing Nb and Ni as part of the B-site component in partial substitution of Zr and Ti would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as taught by Feltz et al.

Art Unit: 1734

(5)

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 11, and further in view of JP 2001-181035.

Horikawa '328 discloses firing at a temperature of 1100°C or less.

JP '035 teaches that in making a piezoelectric ceramic transducer, etc, if the burning temperature is made low, it is possible to use cheaper silver-palladium alloy as the internal electrode. To lower manufacturing cost, it is desirable to make the percentage of palladium be 20% or less, which can be used with a burning temperature of 1000°C or less (computer translation [0004]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the method of the references as combined by coating the green sheets with Ag/Pd paste of at least 80% or 85% Ag, as taught by JP '035, to lower manufacturing costs by using cheaper Ag/Pd alloy. The use of an Ag/Pd paste of ratio of at least 80/20 or 85/15 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to lower the manufacturing cost by using a cheaper Ag/Pd alloy.

By producing green sheets of thickness of 60-100 um, piezoelectric layers of thickness less than 64 um or 40 um after sintering are obviously provided.

(6)

Claims 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horikawa 6,080,328 in view of Ponomarev et al. 2004/0012000, Horikawa et al.6,383,408 and JP 2-122511, further in view of either Ogawa et al. 6,280,650 or Takeshima 2001/0045792.

Horikawa '328 discloses a method of making a piezoelectric ceramic element comprising: providing a piezoelectric ceramic of the formula Pb_a[(Cr_xNb_(1-x))yZr_(1-b-y)Ti_b]O₃,

wherein 0.95≤a≤1.05; producing green sheets; laminating green sheets with internal electrodes; and firing. Green sheets of thickness of 60-100 um can be coated with electrode paste of Ag/Pd of ratio 7/3 (col. 2, lines 39-67, col. 8, lines 5-35). Horikawa does not disclose limiting the Pb in the composition to molar quantity reduced by 0.5-5 mol% from that of stoichiometric composition or disclose firing (sintering) in an atmosphere of oxygen concentration of 5 vol% or less but more than 0 vol%.

Ponomarev et al. teach that to achieve high efficiency under dynamic operations such as in a multilayer piezoelectric ceramic transformer, low-loss hard piezoelectric ceramic materials are required [0002].

Horikawa et al. teach that a piezoelectric ceramic which has significantly low loss is a composition represented by the formula $Pb_x\{(Mn_aNb_b)_yTi_zZr_{(1-y-z)}\}O_3$, where on a molar basis $0.95 \le x \le 0.995$ (col. 2,lines 44-56). When the amount of Pb is decreased below stoichiometric content, no foreign phase exist in the sintered material, and hence a piezoelectric ceramic having an even lower loss can be obtained (col. 3, lines 14-18)

JP 2-122511 (JP '511) teaches that a laminate of green sheets and Ag-Pd paste inner electrodes is calcined (sintered) in a low oxygen concentration environment of less than 50,000 ppm oxygen to improve reliability and reduce costs while retaining needed characteristics.

Ogawa et al. and Takeshima each teach that one type of piezoelectric device formed using piezoelectric ceramic and electrodes is a piezoelectric buzzer (col. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the method of Horikawa '328 for making a piezoelectric ceramic element by limiting the limiting the Pb in the composition to molar quantity reduced by 0.5-5 mol% (0.95<a<0.995) from that of

Art Unit: 1734

stoichiometric composition, as Horikawa et al. teach that such a composition has low loss because of Pb content decreased below stoichiometric content and as Ponomarev et al. teach that low loss is desired for multilayer piezoelectric ceramic elements. Limiting the Pb molar quantity as claimed would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to achieve lower loss, taught by Ponomarev et al. as desired for multilayer piezoelectric ceramic elements.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have further modified the method of Horikawa '328 by firing (sintering) the laminate in a low oxygen atmosphere of less than 50,000 ppm oxygen, as taught by JP '511, as used to sinter a laminate of green sheets and Ag-Pd electrodes to improve reliability and reduce costs while retaining needed characteristics. Sintering in an oxygen atmosphere of oxygen concentration in the range of up to 5 vol%, as claimed, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as encompassed by the range of less than 50,000 ppm oxygen taught by JP '511.

Using the method of the references as combined to make a piezoelectric element used as a piezoelectric buzzer (audio emitter part) would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as taught by Ogawa et al. or Takeshima, as one type of piezoelectric device made using piezoelectric ceramic and electrodes.

By providing the piezoelectric ceramic of composition $Pb_a[(Cr_xNb_{(1-x)})yZr_{(1-b-y)}Ti_b]O_3$, the average valence of the B site component is greater than stoichiometric and greater than 4.000 but less than 4.100, as claimed and the B site component comprises Nb, as claimed in Claim 24.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,537 Page 8

Art Unit: 1734

(7)

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 22, and further in view of JP 2001-181035.

Horikawa '328 discloses firing at a temperature of 1100°C or less.

JP '035 teaches that in making a piezoelectric ceramic transducer, etc, if the burning temperature is made low, it is possible to use cheaper silver-palladium alloy as the internal electrode. To lower manufacturing cost, it is desirable to make the percentage of palladium be 20% or less, which can be used with a burning temperature of 1000°C or less (computer translation [0004]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the method of the references as combined by coating the green sheets with Ag/Pd paste of at least 80% or 85% Ag, as taught by JP '035, to lower manufacturing costs by using cheaper Ag/Pd alloy. The use of an Ag/Pd paste of ratio of at least 80/20 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to lower the manufacturing cost by using a cheaper Ag/Pd alloy.

By producing green sheets of thickness of 60-100 um, piezoelectric layers of thickness less than 64 um after sintering are obviously provided.

Response to Arguments

(8)

Applicant's arguments filed January 12, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the present invention is based on the discovery of piezoelectric ceramic layers and internal electrodes can be sintered in an atmosphere of up to 5 volume percent oxygen without deteriorating piezoelectric constant when molar quantity of the A site component has been reduced and average valence of the B site component has been increased. Applicant argues that Horikawa '328 does not disclose altering stoichiometry.

(9)

Horikawa '328 disclose providing a piezoelectric ceramic of the formula

Pba[(Cr_xNb_(1-x))yZr_(1-b-y)Ti_b]O₃, wherein 0.95≤a≤1.05, thus disclosing using a composition in which the molar quantity of the A site component can be as low as 0.95 (reduced up to 5 mol%). Horikawa et al. '408 is pertinent because it suggests to limit Pb in the composition to molar quantity reduced by 0.5-5 mol% from that of stoichiometric composition so that no foreign phase exist in the sintered material, and hence a piezoelectric ceramic having an even lower loss can be obtained, low loss required to achieve high efficiency under dynamic operations, as suggested by Ponomarev et al. JP 2-122511 is pertinent because it suggests to sinter a laminate of green sheets and Ag-Pd paste inner electrodes is calcined (sintered) in a low oxygen concentration environment of less than 50,000 ppm oxygen to improve reliability and reduce costs while retaining needed characteristics. Applicants' arguments with respect to sintering atmosphere have been considered but are not persuasive. With respect to the Moon and Jang article, it only

Art Unit: 1734

compares air or oxygen atmosphere with nitrogen atmosphere, not a low oxygen atmosphere as suggested by JP '511. See also Watanabe et al. 6,221,271 which teaches sintering piezoelectric ceramic is atmosphere of oxygen concentration of 1% or more.

Conclusion

(10)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Curtis Mayes whose telephone number is 571-272-1234. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached on 571-272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melvin Curtia Mayes Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734

MCM February 21, 2006